

<b>SUBJECT</b>	LAUNCESTON HWRC LIAISON GROUP
<b>DATE</b>	22.03.10
<b>LOCATION</b>	South Petherwin Village Hall
<b>RECORDER</b>	Susannah Downing

<b>PRESENT</b>	Lavinia Archer	(LA)	Local resident
	Alan Brook	(AB)	Local resident
	Michael Cook	(MC)	Cllr
	Graham Davey	(GD)	Local resident
	Fran Dennison	(FD)	Local resident
	Susannah Downing	(SD)	SITA
	Paul O Brien	(POB)	Launceston Town Mayor/Cllr
	Philip Parsons	(PP)	County Cllr/Local resident
	Gareth Philips	(GP)	SITA
	Neil Sandland	(NS)	Cornwall Council
	Grant Scott	(GS)	SITA

**DISTRIBUTION** All liaison group members

**APOLOGIES** Graham Facks- Martin, Bernard O Neil

Ref	Note	Action
-----	------	--------

**1.0 INTRODUCTION**

1.1	PR introduced himself as the new Regional Manager for Devon and Cornwall. GS introduced himself as the new Operations Manager for SITA Cornwall.	
	AB raised issues with previous minutes recorded.	
1.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>AB felt that the concerns of the members involving the severity of wind and possible health and safety implications were not recorded adequately.</li> </ul>	
1.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>AB's worries of possible danger to members due to the elevated position of the site not mentioned.</li> </ul>	
1.4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pg 3 Para 5 typing error 'councillor's asset'</li> </ul>	
1.5	POB wanted to raise the issue of the loss of the resale of goods on site-what conclusions/action had there been	

Ref	Note	Action
1.6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PP- wanted the noting of County Councillor</li> </ul> <p><b>ACTION-</b> SD to amend and recirculate.</p>	
<b>2.0 UPDATE ON PLANNING</b>		
2.1	<p>GP informed the group that the planning application was formally submitted in the first week of November. The application progressed to the planning committee. Many recommendations were made, but permission has been granted.</p> <p>Some issues and their outcome, prior to agreement at the planning committee are as follows:</p>	
2.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>GP confirmed that there will be no lighting other than security lighting that will be triggered by motion sensors.</li> </ul>	
2.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Landscape officer held more preference towards slow growing species of plants due to there being more chance of growth success.</li> </ul>	
2.4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Addressing the concerns about wind severity and safety issues surrounding this GP informed the group that the fence being mainly constructed to control noise levels will be increased by 50cm to 2.5 metres. This has been backed by the noise assessment and should also help prevent any possible problems with wind.</li> </ul>	
2.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>GP informed the group that the issue of resale, as raised by POB, was discussed at length at the planning committee. However was not part of the planning application.</li> </ul>	
2.6	<p>POB raised his concerns about vehicle access to the site, particularly the width of road. Members also stated the number of accidents that have occurred in the past. GP confirmed that this had been raised by a number of councillors at the committee but there was no problem from a Highway perspective and the width of road was considered standard.</p>	
2.7	<p>Members also asked about whether the possibility of a footpath connection was raised at the committee. NS said that this was possibly overlooked and perhaps this can be taken up again but it would be the responsibility of the County Council.</p>	
2.8	<p>There was some confusion within the group as to what was exactly agreed at the planning meeting. It was agreed that once the minutes have been written SD will circulate to the liaison members.</p>	GP/SD



Ref	Note	Action
-----	------	--------

### 3.0 CONSTRUCTION TIMETABLE

- 3.1 GP informed the group that the tendering of construction has now commenced. Most of who are South West based. Before construction is to commence an overhead power cable on the S/W of the site needs to be moved. This will be done by Western Power. Pre-commencement conditions will need to be discharged.
- 3.2 GP estimates construction will start in early Summer, possibly June with a 6 month build time.
- 3.3 NS informed the group that SITA can commence the development of the waste site. This can run concurrently with the extension of the water mains, surface water system and overhead cable diversion. There will be a time, however that the waste site will need the cables diverted, water supplies etc to avoid delays.
- 3.4 GP told the group that one of the pre commencement conditions was for the agreement of the colour of the building. The group were shown samples of colour and asked their opinion.  
The group were in agreement to the favourability of 'Moorland Green'  
GP will get a metal cladding sample and will bring this to the group for the next meeting (GP)
- 3.5 PR asked the group about their opinions on the type of species of plant that will be used. PP stated that strong views have been voiced in favour of fast growing species such as conifers. FD and AB were of the opinion that a mixture of slow and fast growing would be favourable, AB mentioning that this had worked well at sites before. GP informed the group that there would need to be agreement from the planning authority before construction. There was no deadline as such, but planting will not take place until October.

### 4.0 ONGOING ROLE OF THE LIAISON GROUP

- 4.1 PR asked the group how they would like future liaison groups to be run. The contractor could be invited to answer any questions at the next meeting held. PR also said that SITA takes a passive role as an invitee to the meetings and extended an invitation to the group to take the role of chair to keep the control of the meeting with the members. It was agreed that organisation will stay the same until construction starts. AB did state that as long as there was control with the members as to when they would like a meeting to be held and it was granted then it would be a good way to communicate. NS stated it would be no problem to arrange a meeting when requested.



Ref	Note	Action
<b>5.0 ANY OTHER BUSINESS</b>		
5.1	<p>POB asked the group about a recent news article mentioning the resale of items from the site.</p> <p>GP answered that the Planning Committee recommended liaison between SITA / Cornwall Council and residents over the potential for resale within the Launceston area, such a review to be completed within 2 years. However there is no requirement for a reuse facility to be established within a 2 year period. PP adding that an additional requirement could not be added. GP- The issue of resale is outside of SITA's contract. GP and PR said they understood the strength of feeling towards this issue but that the focus needs to be driven towards other outlets outside of the site.</p> <p>PP reiterated the strength of feeling towards the lack of future resale and felt that it was important to have a re-use outlet close to site as it wouldn't prove to be as successful.</p>	
5.2	<p>AB asked about signage to the site to explain developments. PR said that this has been done before at other sites. It was also mentioned that signage could be provided at current site. This will be looked into.</p>	(PR/LB)
5.3	<p>MC showed his interest in joining future meetings. This was accepted by all present. SD to add to invitee list.</p>	(SD)
5.4	<p>LA asked that a particular couple living close to the site were invited to meetings in the future. SD to add to invitee list.</p>	

**DATE OF NEXT MEETING PROPOSED- 26<sup>th</sup> May 2010 19:00**