

<b>Subject:</b>	<b>Scorrier HWRC and RTS liaison group meeting</b>	<b>Date:</b>	18.02.09
<b>Author:</b>	Grace Williams	<b>Location:</b>	Crossroads Motel, Scorrier

<b>Present:</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Abbreviation</b>	<b>Company</b>
	Sue Wolstenholme	SW	APR (Chair)
	Grace Williams	GW	SITA
	Phil Rudin	PR	SITA
	John Newcombe	JN	St Day PC
	Gareth Phillips	GP	SITA
	Paul Treseder	PT	Crossroads Motel
	Michael Polglase	MP	Chacewater PC
	Carl Martin	CM	Carharrack PC
	Vivien Medall	VM	Local resident
	Mark Kaczmarek	MK	County Cllr
	Vernon Baldry	VB	St Day PC
	Diane Baldry	DB	Local resident
<b>Apologies:</b>			
	Louis de Poncheville	LdP	SITA
	Tim Thomson	TT	Chacewater Parish Council
	Brod Ross	BR	
	Donna Squibb	DS	Gwennap PC
	Liz Vaughan	LV	St Agnes PC
	Glyn Jones	GJ	Penrose touring
	James Bailey	JB	Local resident
	Kerry Bailey	KB	Local resident
	Eric Rabjohns	ER	Carharrack Old Cornwall Society
	Nick Rapo	NR	Local resident
	Martin Snell	MS	Penrose touring
	Graham Coplestone	GC	SITA
	John Praela	JP	Local resident
	Michael Medall	MM	Local resident
	Ali Rapo	AR	Local resident
	Dennis Howland	DH	St Agnes PC
	Ruth Allen	RA	Local resident
<b>Distribution:</b>			
	As above		

Ref:	Topic	Item
1.0	Introduction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The chair welcomed the group and commented on the poor turn out. The group suggested that half term may be the reason for this.</li> </ul>
2.0	Matters arising	<p>Amendments to previous meeting's minutes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A GW to change John Newcombe as present at the last meeting.</li> <li>GP highlighted to the group the note on the January minutes which states that the planning committee is likely to make a decision on the application on 26<sup>th</sup> March 09 at the earliest.</li> <li>VB asked if the minutes could be circulated soon after the previous meeting. A GW to ensure.</li> </ul>
3.0	Transport routing agreement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Everyone present was given a map of the roads surrounding the site.</li> <li>CM confirmed that Carharrack PC would be very pleased if the B3298 was avoided.</li> <li>The group agreed that the C700 through Blackwater, C723 through Chacewater and the B3298 through Carharrack should be avoided.</li> <li>SITA agreed to propose these restricted routes to the planning authority as a voluntary routing agreement. However the planning authority may request that it is a section 106 agreement.</li> <li>In the event of an accident preventing access to the unrestricted roads, the restricted routes will have to be used.</li> <li>The agreed restricted routes would only apply to waste HGVs using the Scorrier site and not private vehicles. However the number of private vehicles travelling through Carharrack will significantly decrease if Falmouth HWRC is granted permission.</li> <li>JN asked where the signs directing members of the public to the site will be located. GP notified JN that SITA are in early discussions with CCC about signage. MK was concerned that signage wouldn't make a difference as people use satellite navigation.</li> <li>PT remains unhappy about the pressure on local infrastructure.</li> <li>VM is still concerned that the roads will be gridlocked around the site.</li> <li>MK is concerned about the three proposed roundabouts causing tail backs on the A30, putting pressure on Avers roundabout and creating rat runs in other areas. GP clarified that SITA have submitted further information to the Highways regarding this but have yet to receive a response.</li> <li>MK was disappointed that the Highways did not attend the site visit or public meeting.</li> <li>MK notified the group that the proposals for Hallenbeagle include a single width road under the bridge near the proposed Scorrier site with a footpath on either side. MK was concerned that this would create chaos at the nearby roundabout.</li> <li>MK was also concerned about traffic queuing from the site back onto Radnor Road. GP reassured</li> </ul>

Ref:	Topic	Item
		<p>the group that the designated parking, split level layout and space for cars to queue on site would prevent this.</p>
4.0	<b>Bodmin MRF visit</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• JN and CM visited the materials recycling facility (MRF) in Bodmin and were impressed with the operation. CM reported to the group that recyclables collected in North Cornwall, Caradon and Restormel were sorted and baled at the facility and then sent on to reprocessors.</li> <li>• CM was interested to know the quantities and revenue of material collected.</li> <li>• PR told the group that it is important to view recyclables as commodities and gave a brief overview of the value of the materials.</li> <li>• MK commented that the location of Bodmin MRF is more suitable for the facility that is proposed for Scorrier.</li> </ul>
5.0	<b>Planning update</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SITA has supplied the Planning Authority with further information. The Highways Agency and Environment Agency were among those who requested further information. This information has been sent out to public consultation for 21 days. The public consultation period ends on 4<sup>th</sup>/5<sup>th</sup> March.</li> <li>• VB spoke for the group stating that all those present at the meeting are opposed to the facility.</li> <li>• VB was concerned that there is no plan B. GP stated that if the application is rejected SITA are unsure at this stage whether they will appeal. If SITA do appeal it usually takes 9-12 months before the appeal is heard and takes a further 12 months to construct the facility. It will take 6 months to put together an application for another site, with a 4-6 months determination period and a 12 month construction period. Neither of these scenarios meet the closure of United Mines in 2010. SITA will be led by their client on this decision.</li> <li>• PR notified the group that SITA is working towards closing United Mines landfill in October 2010.</li> <li>• GP encouraged those present to review the further information and make their comments known to the planning authority. The end of the further information consultation period is likely to be 4<sup>th</sup>/5<sup>th</sup> March. If no new issues arise from the consultation period the planning application is likely to go to committee on 26<sup>th</sup> March 2009.</li> <li>• GP gave VB and MP a copy of the further information on CD.</li> <li>• GP notified the group that some form of planning committee will continue after the introduction of the Unitary Authority with 15 members instead of the current 22. MK notified the group that the members of the new planning committee will be selected by each political party. It was discussed that the timing of the next election is yet to be confirmed and could be either June or October.</li> <li>• MK confirmed that the Planning Authority usually publishes their report on a planning application</li> </ul>

Ref:	Topic	Item
		about five working days before the final decision.
6.0	AOB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• MK notified the group that the planning committee would like a decision either way on the CERC before the new Unitary Authority and explained to the group that members on the planning committee are being pressured to agree to the CERC to avoid large costs.</li> <li>• Regarding the proposals for an energy from waste facility PT thinks other technologies should be looked at. PR explained to the group that SITA is currently looking at anaerobic digestion alongside EfW in Cornwall but this requires a food waste collection which isn't in operation currently.</li> <li>• MK was expecting SITA to display the height/size of the Scorrier building at the site visit.</li> <li>• The base of the Scorrier facility will be set down therefore it will not stand at 8m above ground level.</li> </ul>
7.0	Date of next meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To be confirmed</li> </ul>